
Policy: **Dissertation Supervision and Examination**

Code: **03EP09**

Reviewed/ Revised: **23/06/ 2022**

Policy Owner: **Dean**

Target audience: **Faculty and Students, Librarian, Academic Services**

PURPOSE:

This policy establishes the rules of dissertation supervision and defines the mode of assigning supervisors and evaluating the research capabilities developed and the output presented in the dissertation.

SCOPE:

This policy applies to all faculty members supervising students in a research project towards a dissertation. The expectation to complete a significant research project and to gain insight into the techniques of identifying and solving problems, and to use this insight to prepare written dissertation is part of the workload of the postgraduate programme. The dissertation helps students to familiarize with industry best practices in the region, apply innovative theory to newer situations and deepen their knowledge in a chosen domain.

DEFINITIONS:

Dissertation: an applied research project, a compulsory component of the postgraduate programme. The dissertation is organised around a defined scope, an identified research problem, and a specific mode of inquiry. The chosen topic for the dissertation may be interdisciplinary as a reflection of the hospitality and tourism field.

POLICY STATEMENT:

This policy governs the dissertation component of a programme. It is an applied research exercise requiring supervision from faculty over a significant period and a final examination combining written and oral elements.

RESPONSIBILITY:

Each member of Faculty involved in the supervision of a dissertation is responsible for the implementation of this policy. The Dean and Programme Manager will monitor and update this policy on a regular basis. The Head of Academic Services and Registry is responsible for ensuring that grades are transferred accurately by the Faculty & Academic Services and Registry team onto the students' transcripts. The Senior librarian is responsible for checking the dissertation and storing them among the scholarly resources.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE POLICY:

Supervision

Each student undertaking a dissertation project will be advised by a suitably qualified supervisor, who is a full-time member of faculty and a terminal degree holder. The nomination of the supervisor is made in the Research methodology course. The course lecturer will introduce the EAHM research priorities and the faculty by field of expertise. He/she will gather the refined proposed topics and associated research questions and submit them to the Research Committee. The committee will review the topics in view of the alignment with the EAHM research priorities and assign supervisors as per expertise in the area. Students will then be informed of their supervisor, and a regular consultation planning will be developed between the supervisor and the student.

Change of Supervisor

In the event a student wishes to change the supervisor, the student is required to fill the form and send the request to the Dissertation Coordinator, the Programme Manager and Registrar. The change will be examined by the Dissertation Coordinator and Programme Manager/Dean. The outcome will be notified to the student only once the original supervisor and the desired supervisor convey their written consent on the form.

Academic integrity

The dissertation period follows the prerequisite research methodology course in this course is expected to abide by the academic integrity principles. Plagiarism, in any form is not permitted. The attempt of students to present as their own any work not honestly performed is regarded by the faculty and administration as a most serious offense and renders the offenders liable to serious consequences, possibly suspension or expulsion. "Scholastic dishonesty" includes, but not limited to, cheating, plagiarism, collusion, contract buying of assessments, falsifying academic records, misrepresenting facts, and any act designed to give unfair academic advantage to the student (such as, but not limited to, submission of essentially the same written assignment for two courses without the prior permission of the instructor) or the attempt to commit such an act. The EAHM policies on academic integrity, including cheating and plagiarism, will be strictly upheld in this class.

Dissertation time frame

Undergraduate: Students registered for the Dissertation course must complete their Dissertation within the trimester they register.

Postgraduate: Students registered for the Dissertation course must complete their Dissertation within two trimesters, starting from the trimester they register.

Dissertation Extension

Dissertation extension requests should be made to the Dissertation Supervisor who will then make a recommendation to the Programme Manager / Dean and will be reviewed and approved on a case-to-case basis. Once the extension request has been approved, students must complete and submit their dissertation within one year after officially registering for the dissertation.

Late submissions

- a. Course assignment or dissertation submitted within twenty – four (24) hours of the original deadline will receive a maximum grade of sixty (60) percent (or the degree worthy designation).
- b. Course assignment or dissertation submitted after twenty – four (24) hours of the original deadline will not be marked and the grade of zero (0) will be recorded.

Final Examination and oral defense

The final examination will take the form of review of the written component and an oral defense of the dissertation. The Programme manager will schedule the Final Examination, in consultation with the Dean.

The written dissertation will be submitted by students two weeks before the scheduled oral defense. The document should demonstrate the candidate's familiarity with the literature of the field. If it is a work of scholarly rather than creative nature, it will reflect the student's reasoned selection and careful execution of research methodology. The review and assessment is made by the supervisor and another faculty member nominated by the programme manager.

The defense part of the session must be open to all interested parties, will be publicized on campus by the Academic Services and Registry team, before the end of the trimester of graduation, (normally the last week of the trimester).

The candidate must have an active registration during the trimester in which the final examination is taken.

The Oral Defense Jury will consist at least of the following:

- Another EAHM faculty member (Chair of the Jury)
- Student's supervisor
- A member of the hospitality and tourism industry.

These members are selected upon the recommendation of the student's supervisor, and approved by the Programme manager and the Dean. Furthermore, all academic examiners must hold a doctorate degree in a discipline related to the student's research. A jury member from the industry must either have a terminal degree or an industry and academic experience justifying an appointment in an academic jury.

Immediately after the presentation, the Jury will convene in a closed meeting to deliberate the student's dissertation and overall performance. It will then prepare and submit a report stating the outcome of the examination, as well as the Jury's recommendation to the Programme Manager. The Programme manager will submit copies of the report to the Assistant Dean and to the Dean. Based on the outcome, the Programme manager will also submit the relevant grade to the Registrar.

In case of a dissertation that requires amendments, the Jury may recommend the following:

- Approve the dissertation after minor amendment and verification by the dissertation supervisor. Submission of modified dissertation to supervisor within 5 working days of being informed of the decision
- Rejection of the dissertation and requirement for re-submission or dismissal from the programme. The student may file a written appeal to the Dean within 5 working days from the date of being informed of the decision.

Within one day of the oral defense, the Programme manager will notify the student in writing of the outcome. After the student successfully passes the final examination, the candidate submits to the library one error-free copy of the thesis. This copy will be examined carefully, and the library reserves the right to require changes to bring the document up to the standards expected from the Dissertation handbook.

After making these final changes, the candidate must submit to the library an electronic version along with other digital documents related to the research project. These materials must be received no later than 10 working days before the due date for grades for the semester as set by the Academic Services and Registry

ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS:

- Dissertation Syllabi
- Dissertation Handbook
- Policy: 06ST23 Academic Integrity

MENTIONS:

- Faculty Handbook
- Catalogue

DATE OF NEXT REVIEW:

This document should be reviewed by **February 2024.**

POLICY APPROVALS RECORD

Policy Name: **Dissertation Supervision and Examination**

Policy Code: **03EP09**

Formerly: NA

Date of first approval: **23/06/2022**

**Reviewed/
Updated**

Details of Amendment

Reviewed/ Updated	Details of Amendment

APPENDIX A: REQUEST TO CHANGE SUPERVISOR FORM

REQUEST TO CHANGE SUPERVISOR

<u>(1) Candidate</u> to complete Section 1 and submit to current supervisor	
Name of Candidate:.....	
Student ID Number:.....	
Degree Programme:	
Commencement Date of Supervision:.....	
Research Project Title:	
Current Supervisor:	Proposed New Supervisor:
Detailed reasons for the request to change:	
Signature of Candidate:	Date:
<i>For Office Use Only:</i>	
To: Candidate: _____	
Through New Supervisor: _____	
This is to inform you that your proposed new supervisor as indicated above has been approved.	
cc. Programme Manager Supervisor	

(2) Comments by current supervisor

Name & Signature of Supervisor

Date

(3) Comments by proposed new supervisor

(must also state that supervisor and candidate agree to work together)

Name & Signature of Supervisor

Date

(4) Comments by Programme Manager

Signature of Head

Date

(5) Recommendation by Dean/Assistant Dean

Recommended/Not Recommended *

Remarks (if any):

Signature & Stamp

Date

* Delete accordingly

APPENDIX B: EAHM Course Moderator Report

Course Name:	<input type="text"/>	Lecturer:	<input type="text"/>
Course Code:	<input type="text"/>	Signature & date:	<input type="text"/>
Course Delivery Mode:	<input type="text"/>	Moderator:	<input type="text"/>
No. of students enrolled in the course:	<input type="text"/>	Signature & date:	<input type="text"/>

	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>FAIL</i>	<i>FAIL</i>	
<i>Grade</i>	>85	70 – 84	60- 69	50 – 59	40 -49	0- 39	TOTAL
Marked papers	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Moderated papers	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>